

SOSYOEKONOMI JOURNAL

REVIEWER FORM

Title : Why and How Should We Modify the Commonly Used Trade Openness Measure?

To the Editorial Board of Sosyoekonomi Journal,

My detections for the article titled above are as follows:

(5) High – Average – Low (1)	5	4	3	2	1
1. Is it a good fit for the journal to which it was submitted?					
2. How high is the English level in this paper?					
3. How would you evaluate the importance and significance of the paper?					
4. Does the research paper provide or illustrate novelty?					
5. How would you grade the originality and speciality of the paper?					
6. Is title appropriate in accordance with the text?					
7. How sufficient is the Abstract?					
8. How sufficient is the paper's identification strategy?					
9. Are employed data particularly well-suited to answer the research questions?					
10. How correct is the empirical model that the author(s) employ?					
11. Are the (policy) implications of the paper clearly spelled out?					
12. Are the references appropriate in accordance with the Journal?					

REVIEW

- ACCEPT** for publication without revision
- Ask for **MINOR REVISION** for likely acceptance (as it is seen in the *Reviewer Report*)
- Request **MAJOR REVISION** for another review process (as it is seen in the *Reviewer Report*)
- REJECT** Outright (because of the reasons in the *Reviewer Report*)

Reviewer's

(Date: Day/Month/Year)

Name, Surname : (Academic Title, Name, Family Name)

Institution : (University, Faculty, Institution, Department)

Signature :

SOSYOEKONOMI JOURNAL

REVIEWER REPORT

Title : Why and How Should We Modify the Commonly Used Trade Openness Measure?

Reviewer's remarks to the authors

Reviewer's confidential remarks to the editor

SIGNATURE